



# Co-optimization of sponge-core bioreactors for removing total nitrogen and antibiotic resistance genes from domestic wastewater

Mui-Choo Jong<sup>a</sup>, Jian-Qiang Su<sup>b</sup>, Joshua T. Bunce<sup>a</sup>, Colin R. Harwood<sup>c</sup>, Jason R. Snape<sup>a,d,e</sup>, Yong-Guan Zhu<sup>b,f</sup>, David W. Graham<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Engineering, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

<sup>b</sup> Key Lab of Urban Environment and Health, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Science, Xiamen, China

<sup>c</sup> Institute for Cell and Molecular Biosciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

<sup>d</sup> AstraZeneca UK Limited, Global Environment, Alderley Park, UK


<sup>e</sup> School of Life Sciences, The University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

<sup>f</sup> State Key Lab of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China

## HIGHLIGHTS

- DDHS bioreactors achieved up to 71% TN removal using raw wastewater bypass.
- High-throughput qPCR shows significant ARGs removals across DDHS bioreactors.
- Excess wastewater bypass (30%) reduces ARG removal.
- ARG removal is closely associated with bacteria removal in all configurations.
- Co-optimisation is needed ARG and TN removal using DDHS systems.

## GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



Co-optimizing TN and ARG removal in DDHS bioreactors

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 20 December 2017

Received in revised form 4 April 2018

Accepted 4 April 2018

Available online 18 April 2018

Editor: Zhen (Jason) He

### Keywords:

Antibiotic resistance genes  
Sustainable wastewater treatment  
Wastewater bypass  
Denitrification  
High-throughput qPCR

## ABSTRACT

Inadequate sanitation can lead to the spread of infectious diseases and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) via contaminated water. Unfortunately, wastewater treatment is not universal in many developing and emerging countries, especially in rural and peri-urban locations that are remote from central sewers. As such, small-scale, more sustainable treatment options are needed, such as aerobic-Denitrifying Downflow Hanging Sponge (DDHS) bioreactors. In this study, DDHS reactors were assessed for such applications, and achieved over 79% and 84% removal of Chemical Oxygen Demand and Ammonium, respectively, and up to 71% removal of Total Nitrogen (TN) from domestic wastes. Elevated TN removals were achieved via bypassing a fraction of raw wastewater around the top layer of the DDHS system to promote denitrification. However, it was not known how this bypass impacts AMR gene (ARG) and mobile genetic element (MGE) levels in treated effluents. High-throughput qPCR was used to quantify ARG and MGE levels in DDHS bioreactors as a function of percent bypass (0, 10, 20 and 30% by volume). All systems obtained over 90% ARG reduction, although effluent ARG and TN levels differed among bypass regimes, with co-optimal reductions occurring at ~20% bypass. ARG removal paralleled bacterial removal rate, although effluent bacteria tended to have greater genetic plasticity based on higher apparent MGE levels per

\* Corresponding author at: School of Engineering, Cassie Building, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK.  
E-mail address: [david.graham@newcastle.ac.uk](mailto:david.graham@newcastle.ac.uk) (D.W. Graham).

cell. Overall, TN removal increased and ARG removal decreased with increasing bypass, therefore co-optimization is needed in each DDHS application to achieve locally targeted TN and AMR effluent levels.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Effective wastewater treatment and community sanitation are critical to global health and environmental protection. However, almost 2.5 billion people live without access to even basic sanitation (United Nations 2015), which impacts infectious disease mortality and increases exposure to environmental antimicrobial resistance (AMR) via contaminated water (Hu et al. 2008; Manaia et al. 2016; Pruden et al. 2013; Quintela-Baluja et al. 2015; WHO 2014; Zhang et al. 2009a). The impact of water- and waste-borne AMR releases is most profound in emerging and developing countries because waste management is not proceeding as rapidly as urbanisation, leading to declining environmental quality as development occurs. Accordingly, the United Nations has committed to reduce the lack of sanitation in half by 2030 (United Nations 2016) and is espousing the One Health approach to combat AMR in the environment (Robinson et al. 2016; Singh 2017). However, problems exist in expanding peri-urban environments because such locations often lack centralised sewage collection. As such, smaller, local-scale treatment options are needed to increase wastewater treatment coverage, although few reliable “small” technologies exist that reduce carbon (C) and total nitrogen (TN) levels as well as mitigate against waterborne pathogens and AMR releases.

Denitrifying Downflow Hanging Sponge (DDHS) reactors are a low cost and low maintenance wastewater treatment option that is suitable for smaller or decentralised applications (Bundy et al. 2017). DDHS systems can achieve high Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Ammonium-Nitrogen ( $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ ) and TN removals by using bipartite aerobic-anoxic sponge layers and a raw wastewater bypass to supply extra carbon to lower submerged layers to promote denitrification (Isaacs and Henze 1995; Schipper et al. 2010). The wastewater bypass is crucial to DDHS systems because, when carbon is removed in the top aerobic layers, lower layers become C-limited for denitrification, restricting conversion of nitrate to  $\text{N}_2$ , which is critical for application in places like China with tight TN discharge standards (Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP) 2002). Further, DDHS systems use minimal energy because they employ passive aeration and also provide design flexibility in the sponge core (e.g. varying redox zones, reactor volumes and density ratios) that can be customised to local conditions. However, little is known about how DDHS reactors remove AMR genes (ARGs) and mobile genetic elements (MGEs) during treatment. There is reason to believe DDHS systems may be quite effective because sequenced redox conditions can enhance ARG removal (Christgen et al. 2015).

Here we used high-throughput qPCR (HTH-qPCR) to compare influent and effluent ARGs and MGEs in DDHS bioreactors as a function of wastewater bypass. Selected microbial culturing also was performed for Gram (-) Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase-producing bacteria (ESBL-producing) to complement ARG and MGE data as well as TN and other treatment metrics. Such data is key for process optimisation, especially where TN and AMR reductions are both desired, such as places where improved decentralised treatment is urgently needed (e.g. China, India).

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. DDHS reactor configurations

Four bench-scale DDHS bioreactors were set up as previously described (Bundy et al. 2017) and operated in parallel for 210 days. Each continuous-flow bioreactor was identical, made from PVC cylinders

(0.5 m tall  $\times$  0.14 m internal diameter; working volumes = 7.7 L), and configured to include internal recirculation and a wastewater bypass (also called “shunting”) to the submerged layer (Fig. S1; see Supporting Information, SI). DDHS reactor cores consist of an upper hanging sponge layer exposed to air from above, below, and through side vents, which provide passive aeration for C-removal and nitrification; and a bottom anoxic sponge layer for denitrification, prospectively enhanced by wastewater shunting. Reactors were seeded with nitrifying return activated sludge (RAS) to encourage biofilm growth within the sponge matrix, and were operated in continuous-flow mode with an organic loading rate of 0.4 kg COD/ $\text{m}^3$ -sponge/day (HRT = 0.6 days) and under room temperature environment (22–23 °C) (Bundy et al. 2017).

The reactors were designated R-S0, R-S10, R-S20 and R-S30, being defined by different bypass percentages; 0%, 10%, 20% and 30% (% of total wastewater influent by volume), respectively. R-S0 with no bypass was the control unit. Previous work showed TN removals were most efficient at bypass levels of 20 to 30% (Bundy et al. 2017). A 10% bypass was included to allow step-wise analysis from zero to 30%, to co-optimize the DDHS reactor for simultaneous TN and ARG removal.

### 2.2. Influent source, routine sample analysis and monitoring

Influent and effluent samples were collected and analysed to monitor treatment performance. Fresh settled wastewater (post primary settling; called “raw” here) was collected weekly from a municipal wastewater treatment plant in northern England and stored at 4 °C prior to use as reactor influent. Raw wastewater was fed in parallel via influent pumps to all reactors from an 18-L carboy retained in a fridge located next to the reactors. Analyses on influent and effluents included Soluble COD ( $\text{COD}_s$ ), Total COD ( $\text{COD}_t$ ), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Volatile Suspended Solids (VSS), Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), Ammonium-Nitrogen ( $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ ), Nitrite ( $\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$ ) and Nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ ), as previously described (Bundy et al. 2017). Mean wastewater and effluent characteristics are summarised in Table S1 (see SI).

### 2.3. Sample collection, DNA extraction and ARB enumeration

Sample collection for ARG, MGE, and antibiotic resistant bacteria (ARB; i.e., ESBL-producing isolates) quantification was conducted during quasi-steady-state conditions (based on C and TN removal data) during three biweekly sampling regimes. Altogether, 15 samples were collected for AMR-related analyses, consisting of five samples per sampling week: one influent from parallel feeding points and four DDHS final effluents from the respective final discharge points.

For ARG and MGE quantification, samples were collected and concentrated to obtain adequate biomass for DNA extraction. Effluent samples were collected, stored on ice (for 2 to 4 h), and then filtered through 0.20  $\mu\text{m}$  pore-sized Polyethersulfone filters (Pall Corporation, USA) to harvest the cells, whereas influent samples were collected and concentrated by centrifugation at 4000  $\times g$  for 10 min (Scientific laboratory, UK). Filtrates and concentrates were discarded, and filter paper and pellets were stored at –20 °C prior to subsequent DNA extraction, using the FastDNA SPIN Kit for Soil and a FastPrep-24 Homogeniser (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA). Following extraction, DNA samples were checked for purity using a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, UK) and DNA concentrations were quantified by using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, UK). DNA samples were stored at –80 °C prior to downstream analysis.

In parallel, influent and effluent samples were screened for ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*, using ChromID ESBL selective chromogenic media (Biomérieux, UK). Raw wastewater samples were serially diluted in 1× sterile phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution and 100-μL aliquots were plated in triplicate per dilution per sample. Viable ESBL-producing *E. coli* and KESC isolates (i.e., *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Serratia*, *Citrobacter*) were counted after 24 h of incubation at 37 °C and reported as CFUs/100 mL.

#### 2.4. High-throughput quantitative PCR (HTH-qPCR)

Abundance and diversity of ARGs and MGEs were quantified by HTH-qPCR using the SmartChip Real-time PCR (Warfergen Inc. USA) (Su et al. 2015). A total of 296 primer sets (Table S2) were used to screen for ARGs and MGEs, including 293 validated primer sets targeting 284 ARGs, representing potential resistance to nine major classes of antibiotics. Eight transposase genes, two integron-associated genes (universal class I integron-integrase gene, *intI*; and the clinical class 1 integron-integrase gene, *cintI*); and one eubacterial 16S rRNA gene are also included. Target genes were originally identified with BLAST on the Antibiotic Resistance Genes Database (ARDB) or the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database.

HTH-qPCR amplification was conducted as follows: 100-μL reaction containing (final concentration) 1× LightCycler 480 SYBR® Green I Master Mix (Roche Inc., USA), nuclease-free PCR-grade water, 1 ng/μL BSA, 9 ng/μL DNA template, and 1 μM of each forward and reverse primer. The thermal cycle was as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and finally with a melting curve analysis auto-generated by the programme. Corroborating 16S rRNA quantification targeting universal eubacteria for the same samples was performed using conventional qPCR. Standard curves and the same 16S rRNA primer sequences were used to quantify 16S gene copies for sample normalisation (Looft et al. 2012; Ouyang et al. 2015).

#### 2.5. Genomic data screening and analysis

Raw HTH-qPCR data was cleaned using SmartChip qPCR Software (V 2.7.0.1), which removes data from wells with multiple melting peaks or inefficient amplification (i.e., outside 90% to 110%). Cleaned data from three independent samples (one per week per sampling location) were then screened according to their threshold cycle value ( $C_T$ ). Samples with a  $C_T > 31$  were removed, which previous experience suggested are probable false positives (i.e.,  $C_T = 31$  was the detection limit).

Normalised gene copy numbers of ARGs and MGEs were calculated as described in previous studies (Chen et al. 2016; Ouyang et al. 2015). Bacterial cell numbers were estimated by dividing quantified 16S rRNA copy numbers by the average number of 16S rRNA per bacterium (estimated at 4.1 based on the Ribosomal RNA Operon Copy Number Database, rrnDB version 4.3.3) (Klappenbach et al. 2001).

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests were performed on the three biweekly ARG datasets and metadata, and statistical comparisons confirmed no significant variations existed among biweekly sampling events (i.e.  $p > 0.05$ ). ARG and MGE levels from the three biweekly datasets were used for subsequent comparisons among influent and reactors effluents.

### 3. Results and discussion


#### 3.1. Enhanced denitrification for decentralised wastewater treatment

Reactor performance data of the DDHS units is shown in Fig. 1 and shows differences among bypass schemes. COD<sub>s</sub> and COD<sub>t</sub> removal efficiencies always were over 79% and 83%, respectively, and NH<sub>4</sub>-N and solids (TSS and VSS; see Table S1) removals were consistently over 84% and 90%, respectively. Despite the addition of bypass wastewater in R-S10, R-S20 and R-S30, COD removal efficiencies did not significantly differ versus bypass levels ( $p > 0.05$ ). However, TN removal rates improved dramatically with increasing bypass with significantly lower effluent NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels in higher bypass units (see Table S1, paired  $t$ -test;  $p < 0.001$ ). Gross TN% removals were 28.5%, 37.6%, 64.5% and 71.0% for R-S0, R-S10, R-S20 and R-S30, respectively, indicating wastewater bypass does enhance denitrification. Greater COD reductions in R-S20 and R-S30, and lower effluent NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels (presumed converted to N<sub>2</sub>) suggest increased denitrification is occurring as designed (Bundy et al. 2017).


#### 3.2. Abundances and patterns of ARGs and MGEs

##### 3.2.1. Total abundances

HTH-qPCR quantifies both ARGs and MGEs, including ARGs associated with nine different antibiotic classes, different resistance mechanisms (deactivation, protection, efflux pump, and unknown), and two MGE groups (transposases and integrons). A total of 59 unique ARGs ( $2.2 \times 10^{10} \pm 3.7 \times 10^9$  copies/mL) and seven MGEs ( $1.4 \times 10^{10} \pm 2.2 \times 10^9$  copies/mL) were detected in influent samples as shown in Fig. 2, with “multidrug” ARGs being most abundant (MDR; 33.8%), followed by aminoglycoside (23.2%), tetracycline (19.6%), Macrolide-Lincosamide-Streptogramin B (MLSB; 12.9%) and β-lactam (9.5%).



**Fig. 1.** DDHS reactors mean performance as a function of wastewater bypass. Stacked bars present mean COD levels (particulate and soluble fractions) and nitrogen constituents (Ammonium; Nitrate; Nitrite; and Organic-N) in raw wastewater and the reactor effluents ( $n = 12$  per reactor). Error bars show standard deviation around the mean; R-S10, R-S20 and R-S30 had minor standard errors.



**Fig. 2.** Total abundance of ARGs and MGEs detected in the raw wastewater and DDHS reactor effluent samples conferring resistance to specific class of antibiotics. (A) Absolute gene copy numbers per mL of wastewater; (B) Relative gene copy numbers normalised to bacterial cell numbers derived from ambient 16S-rRNA gene abundances; (C) Relative percentages of ARG abundances across samples. The line shows absolute bacterial cell levels in the influent and effluents, which reflects eubacterial abundances (error bars – small deviations concealed by marker). The blow-up inset shows subtle differences among ARGs and MGEs in different DDHS reactor effluents. FCA = fluoroquinolone, quinolone, florfenicol, chloramphenicol, and amphenicol ARGs; MLSB = Macrolide-Lincosamide-Streptogramin B ARGs.

Detected influent MGEs were 58% and 42% for transposase and integrase genes, respectively. DNA was extracted from biomass concentrated from samples by filtering through 0.2  $\mu$ m membrane filters, therefore ARG levels reported here are cell-associated. Extra-cellular ARGs were not included in this study.

Absolute ARG abundances significantly declined in all DDHS reactors (see Fig. 2A), consistently achieving 1.0 to 2.0 log reductions (influent vs effluent paired t-test;  $p < 0.05$ ). Effluent ARG levels ranged from  $2.5 \times 10^7$  to  $4.5 \times 10^8$  ARG copies/mL. Highest absolute ARG removals were seen in the reactors with 10 and 20% bypass as compared with no bypass (R-S0) and 30% bypass (R-S30). R-S30 had the highest effluent ARG levels, suggesting “excess” bypass negatively impacts ARG removal. MGE levels also significantly declined in all reactors following similar patterns as for ARGs (Fig. 2A). Overall, the wastewater bypass improves TN removal and achieves efficient ARG removal, which is co-optimized at ~20% bypass. Highest TN removals were seen at a 30% bypass, but Fig. 2 shows ARG removal rates decline, presumably because more raw wastewater bypasses the aerobic layer, suggesting the aerobic layer may be particularly important to ARG removal as suggested previously by Christgen et al. (2015).

Overall, Fig. 2 shows DDHS reactors are “efficient” at reducing both ARG and MGE levels. This is encouraging because DDHS systems use minimal energy compared to other available options for ARG and MGE removal (Bundy et al. 2017). For example, UV, advanced oxidation, and membrane bioreactor processes can effectively reduce ARGs (Wen et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2016), but they use copious energy and are too operationally complex for application where basic sanitation is lacking.

### 3.2.2. Relative ARG and MGE abundances

Relative effluent ARG and MGE levels (normalised to bacterial cell abundances) display different removal patterns compared with absolute abundance data (Fig. 2B). Relative ARG levels declined by ~70% in all four DDHS reactors, although dominant ARGs in effluents differed among bypass schemes. Specifically, relative effluent tetracycline and aminoglycoside ARG levels increased and MDR genes decreased with increased bypass, suggesting the aerobic top layer particularly enhances tetracycline and aminoglycoside ARG removal. In contrast, relative effluent MGE levels generally declined with increasing percent bypass, suggesting the anoxic layer may enhance MGE removal in DDHS systems.



DDHS reactors appear to be particularly effective at reducing medically important  $\beta$ -lactam and aminoglycoside ARGs. As examples, all DDHS configurations significantly removed ESBL- (e.g., *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub>, *bla*<sub>SHV</sub>, *bla*<sub>TEM</sub>, *bla*<sub>SFO</sub>) and cephalosporin-resistance (e.g., *bla*<sub>CEP</sub> and *bla*<sub>AmpC</sub>) ARGs, which are often associated with Gram (–) enteric bacteria (Alouache et al. 2014; Blaak et al. 2015; Willemssen et al. 2015). Further, 2.0 to 4.0 log reductions in culturable ESBL-producing *E. coli* and KESC (*Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Serratia* and *Citrobacter*) bacteria were observed in DDHS units (see Fig. S2). Effluent ESBL-producing isolate numbers increased with greater percent bypass and are consistent with ARG data.

DDHS reactors clearly reduce absolute ARG abundances from domestic wastewater. Estimated bacterial cell numbers in treated effluents showed 1.0 to 2.0 log reductions relative to influent levels (Fig. 2B), with highest bacterial removals observed in R-S20. Further, bacterial removals parallel ARG removals, suggesting ARG reductions may be simply due to the removal of bacteria, which is greatest at intermediate bypass levels. This implies that ARG removal in DDHS systems may be primarily an ecological phenomenon, possibly including predation, which has been suggested previously for this type of reactor (Onodera et al. 2013). Conversely, TN removal increases with greater bypass, therefore an operational trade-off is needed to co-optimize TN and ARG removal for any application.

### 3.3. Broader observations on ARG removal in bioreactors from DDHS systems

Differences in ARG, MGE and bacterial removals across our DDHS systems permit some general observations about AMR removal in bioreactors. For example, data here suggest removal of common ARGs from wastewater is largely associated with removing bacteria, which in the case of DDHS systems, implies the top aerobic layer is particularly key to ARG removal. Previous work has shown aerobic processes may be better for ARG removal (Christgen et al. 2015), which data here suggest this may be due to greater bacteria removals. Specifically, as percent bypass is increased to a certain threshold (30% here), more influent bacteria (often anaerobes and facultative strains) “avoid” the aerobic treatment step, carrying and/or possibly exchanging ARGs in and through the lower anoxic layer. Therefore, although increasing percent bypass enhances denitrification, it allows bacteria to circumnavigate the aerobic layer. This is supported by the fact that relative ARG abundances are similar among effluents (Fig. 2), suggesting absolute ARG in the effluents is mostly related to bacterial numbers.

In contrast, relative ‘MDR’ ARGs and also MGE abundances were lower in effluents when bypass is included (Fig. 2B). The dominant ARG subclass in R-S0 effluent is MDR genes (~73%), whereas MDR only represents 44% of ARGs in R-S30 effluent (Fig. 2C). Further, although absolute MGE levels increase with increasing bypass, relative MGE levels were highest in R-S0 and R-S10 with no or low bypass. This implies bacteria that survived both the aerobic and denitrifying layers tend to have greater genetic plasticity (i.e., higher MGEs per cell and potential for horizontal gene transfer, HGT), which may partially explain why such bacteria survive both redox environments.

An increase in MDR in aerobic processes has been seen previously (Czekalski et al. 2012; Pal et al. 2005; Yang et al. 2013), although a definitive explanation has not been provided. Higher MDR was previously explained by the presence of many micro-stressors in wastewater (e.g., metals, biocides etc.), which select for bacteria with multiple defence mechanisms (Christgen et al. 2015). However, our DDHS reactors had the same influent. Therefore, a better explanation is the change from an anoxic sewage environment to the aerobic treatment unit influences HGT, potentially selecting for MDR genotypes (Pal et al. 2005; Poole 2012). This explanation is plausible because bacterial SOS stress responses cue HGT (Baharoglu et al. 2010) and a change in redox conditions would increase bacterial stress. However, a third explanation is that higher rates of HGT prevail under aerobic reactor conditions,

possibly due to higher growth rates and greater bacterial densities. Suggesting aerobic units increase gross HGT is mildly controversial because others have found greater ARG HGT under anaerobic conditions (Rysz et al. 2013). However, data here imply the aerobic step in DDHS systems is key to ARG removal, which is consistent with observations in other studies (Farkas et al. 2016; Leverstein-van Hall et al. 2003; Mokracka et al. 2012; Tennstedt et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2009b).


### 3.4. Persistent and unique ARG and MGE subtypes, and practical implications

A Venn diagram of ARGs present in the influent and effluents is provided as Fig. 3. It shows 10 “persistent” ARGs (i.e., not removed by any configuration) across all reactors and also unique ARGs among different effluents (see Table S4 for specific ARGs). Overall, effluent from R-S0 had the highest number of unique ARGs (10), whereas R-S30 effluents had the lowest number of unique ARG numbers (2), although R-S30 also had the highest absolute bacterial and ARG abundances. ARGs in the central overlap were persistent in all effluents (see Table S3), including *tetQ*, *tetM*, *tetX*, *bl2d\_oxa10*, and *qacEdelta1*; ARGs often associated with acquired resistance (van Hoek et al. 2011).


All persistent ARGs are summarised in Fig. 4 and statistical associations with persistent MGEs are provided in Table S5. First, persistence appears strongly associated with MDR genes, especially in no or low bypass reactors. However, if one looks at the implied MDR signal, only one ARG is apparent, *qacEdelta1*, which is closely associated with integron cassettes (Partridge et al. 2009) and only correlates with *int1* and *Cint1* (Table S5). In the data here, more of the persistent ARGs statistically correlate with *tp614* (especially tetracyclines and ESBL ARGs), which codes for a transposable element often linked to carbapenem resistance (Seki et al. 2006). This does not mean *tp614* is carrying these ARGs, but implies integron genes are not directly associated with the most persistent ARGs in DDHS effluents.

## 4. Conclusions

DDHS and other sponge reactors are an attractive option for small-scale wastewater treatment. Kobayashi et al. reported sponge systems effectively remove pathogenic viruses (1.5 to 3.7 log reduction for aichivirus, novovirus and enterovirus) (Kobayashi et al. 2017), which complements results here on AMR removal. In particular, DDHS systems can reduce both TN and AMR from domestic wastewater (contrary to other sponge designs) and are suitable for small-scale applications due to low energy and maintenance needs.



**Fig. 3.** Venn diagram showing overlap of ARGs among influent and effluent samples from different DDHS configurations. Subsets represent number of genes detected in the wastewater influent (59 ARGs); R-S0 (35 ARGs); R-S10 (35 ARGs); R-S20 (28 ARGs) and R-S30 (30 ARGs). The central overlap represents the number of persistent ARGs.



**Fig. 4.** Persistent ARGs not removed in any DDHS reactor configuration. Relative abundances of persistent ARGs in the influent and effluents of each reactor (top panel; ARGs noted in the legend), and corresponding relative percentages of ARGs in reactor influent and effluent based on proportion of total ARG copy numbers (bottom panel).

Based on high ARG removal levels, the potential for TN removal, and low energy demands, DDHS systems show great promise at reducing environmental and health impacts of wastewater discharge on local scales. As such, they should be considered in locations where centralised treatment does not exist or would be costly, although co-optimization is needed to satisfy local priorities relative to ARG versus TN removal.

## Acknowledgement

Authors acknowledge funding support by an UK Engineering and Physical Science Research Council Impact Acceleration Award, entitled “Demonstrating Low-energy Technologies for Decentralised Waste Treatment around the World” (EP/K503885/1); AstraZeneca Global Environment; and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Award 21210008).

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.044>.

## References

- Alouache, S., Estepa, V., Messai, Y., Ruiz, E., Torres, C., Bakour, R., 2014. Characterization of ESBLs and associated quinolone resistance in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from an urban wastewater treatment Plant in Algeria. *Microb. Drug Resist.* 20 (1), 30–38.
- Baharoglu, Z., Bikard, D., Mazel, D., 2010. Conjugative DNA transfer induces the bacterial SOS response and promotes antibiotic resistance development through Integron activation. *PLoS Genet.* 6 (10), 10.
- Blaak, H., Lynch, G., Italiaander, R., Hamidjaja, R.A., Schets, F.M., Husman, A.M.D., 2015. Multidrug-resistant and extended Spectrum Beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in Dutch surface water and wastewater. *PLoS One* 10 (6), 16.

- Bundy, C.A., Wu, D., Jong, M.-C., Edwards, S.R., Ahammad, Z.S., Graham, D.W., 2017. Enhanced denitrification in downflow hanging sponge reactors for decentralised domestic wastewater treatment. *Bioresour. Technol.* 226, 1–8.
- Chen, Q.L., An, X.L., Li, H., Su, J.Q., Ma, Y.B., Zhu, Y.G., 2016. Long-term field application of sewage sludge increases the abundance of antibiotic resistance genes in soil. *Environ. Int.* 92–93, 1–10.
- Christgen, B., Yang, Y., Ahammad, S.Z., Li, B., Rodriguez, D.C., Zhang, T., Graham, D.W., 2015. Metagenomics shows that low-energy anaerobic-aerobic treatment reactors reduce antibiotic resistance gene levels from domestic wastewater. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49 (4), 2577.
- Czekalski, N., Berthold, T., Caucci, S., Egli, A., Bürgmann, H., 2012. Increased levels of multiresistant bacteria and resistance genes after wastewater treatment and their dissemination into Lake Geneva, Switzerland. *Front. Microbiol.* 3, 106.
- Farkas, A., Bocos, B., Butiuc-Keul, A., 2016. Antibiotic resistance and int1 carriage in waterborne *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 227 (7), 11.
- van Hoek, A.H.A.M., Mevius, D., Guerra, B., Mullany, P., Roberts, A.P., Aarts, H.J.M., 2011. Acquired antibiotic resistance genes: an overview. *Front. Microbiol.* 2, 203.
- Hu, J.Y., Shi, J.C., Chang, H., Li, D., Yang, M., Kamagata, Y.C., 2008. Phenotyping and genotyping of antibiotic-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolated from a natural river basin. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42 (9), 3415–3420.
- Isaacs, S.H., Henze, M., 1995. Controlled carbon source addition to an alternating nitrification denitrification wastewater treatment process including biological P-removal. *Water Res.* 29 (1), 77–89.
- Klappenbach, J.A., Saxman, P.R., Cole, J.R., Schmidt, T.M., 2001. Rndb: the ribosomal RNA operon copy number database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29 (1), 181–184.
- Kobayashi, N., Oshiki, M., Ito, T., Segawa, T., Hatamoto, M., Kato, T., Yamaguchi, T., Kubota, K., Takahashi, M., Iguchi, A., Tagawa, T., Okubo, T., Uemura, S., Harada, H., Motoyama, T., Araki, N., Sano, D., 2017. Removal of human pathogenic viruses in a down-flow hanging sponge (DHS) reactor treating municipal wastewater and health risks associated with utilization of the effluent for agricultural irrigation. *Water Res.* 110, 389–398.
- Leverstein-van Hall, M.A., Blok, H.E.M., Donders, A.R.T., Paaauw, A., Fluit, A.C., Verhoef, J., 2003. Multidrug resistance among *Enterobacteriaceae* is strongly associated with the presence of integrons and is independent of species or isolate origin. *J. Infect. Dis.* 187 (2), 251–259.
- Loof, T., Johnson, T.A., Allen, H.K., Bayles, D.O., Alt, D.P., Stedtfeld, R.D., Sul, W.J., Stedtfeld, T.M., Chai, B.L., Cole, J.R., Hashsham, S.A., Tiedje, J.M., Stanton, T.B., 2012. In-feed antibiotic effects on the swine intestinal microbiome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109 (5), 1691–1696.
- Manai, C.M., Macedo, G., Fatta-Kassinos, D., Nunes, O.C., 2016. Antibiotic resistance in urban aquatic environments: can it be controlled? *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 100 (4), 1543–1557.

- Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP), 2002. Discharge Standard of Pollutants for Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant (GB18918-2002). Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People's Republic of China, People's Republic of China.
- Mokracka, J., Koczura, R., Kaznowski, A., 2012. Multiresistant Enterobacteriaceae with class 1 and class 2 integrons in a municipal wastewater treatment plant. *Water Res.* 46 (10), 3353–3363.
- Onodera, T., Matsunaga, K., Kubota, K., Taniguchi, R., Harada, H., Syutsubo, K., Okubo, T., Uemura, S., Araki, N., Yamada, M., Yamauchi, M., Yamaguchi, T., 2013. Characterization of the retained sludge in a down-flow hanging sponge (DHS) reactor with emphasis on its low excess sludge production. *Bioresour. Technol.* 136, 169–175.
- Ouyang, W.-Y., Huang, F.-Y., Zhao, Y., Li, H., Su, J.-Q., 2015. Increased levels of antibiotic resistance in urban stream of Jiulongjiang River, China. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 99 (13), 5697–5707.
- Pal, C., Papp, B., Lercher, M.J., 2005. Adaptive evolution of bacterial metabolic networks by horizontal gene transfer. *Nat. Genet.* 37 (12), 1372–1375.
- Partridge, S.R., Tsafnat, G., Coiera, E., Iredell, J.R., 2009. Gene cassettes and cassette arrays in mobile resistance integrons. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 33 (4), 757–784.
- Poole, K., 2012. Bacterial stress responses as determinants of antimicrobial resistance. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 67 (9), 2069–2089.
- Pruden, A., Larsson, D.G.J., Amézquita, A., Collignon, P., Brandt, K.K., Graham, D.W., Lazorchak, J.M., Suzuki, S., Silley, P., Snape, J.R., Topp, E., Zhang, T., Yong-Guan, Z., 2013. Management options for reducing the release of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes to the environment. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 121 (8), 878.
- Quintela-Baluja, M., Chan, C.W., Ezzat Alnakip, M., Abouelnaga, M., Graham, D.W., 2015. Sanitation, water quality and antibiotic resistance dissemination. *The Battle Against Microbial Pathogens: Basic Science, Technological Advances and Educational Programs*. Vol. 2. Formatex, Spain, pp. 965–975.
- Robinson, T.P., Bu, D.P., Carrique-Mas, J., Fevre, E.M., Gilbert, M., Grace, D., Hay, S.I., Jiwakanon, J., Kakkar, M., Kariuki, S., Laxminarayan, R., Lubroth, J., Magnusson, U., Ngoc, P.T., Van Boeckel, T.P., Woolhouse, M.E.J., 2016. Antibiotic resistance is the quintessential one health issue. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 110 (7), 377–380.
- Rysz, M., Mansfield, W.R., Fortner, J.D., Avarez, P.J.J., 2013. Tetracycline resistance gene maintenance under varying bacterial growth rate, substrate and oxygen availability, and tetracycline concentration. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47 (13), 6995–7001.
- Schipper, L.A., Robertson, W.D., Gold, A.J., Jaynes, D.B., Cameron, S.C., 2010. Denitrifying bioreactors—an approach for reducing nitrate loads to receiving waters. *Ecol. Eng.* 36 (11), 1532–1543.
- Singh, P.K., 2017. One health approach to tackle antimicrobial resistance in South East Asia. *BMJ* 358.
- Soki, J., Edwards, R., Hedberg, M., Fang, H., Nagy, E., Nord, C.E., Res, E.S.G.A., 2006. Examination of cfiA-mediated carbapenem resistance in *Bacteroides fragilis* strains from a European antibiotic susceptibility survey. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 28 (6), 497–502.
- Su, J.Q., Wei, B., Ou-Yang, W.Y., Huang, F.Y., Zhao, Y., Xu, H.J., Zhu, Y.G., 2015. Antibiotic resistance and its association with bacterial communities during sewage sludge composting. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49 (12), 7356–7363.
- Tennstedt, T., Szczepanowski, R., Braun, S., Puhler, A., Schluter, A., 2003. Occurrence of integron-associated resistance gene cassettes located on antibiotic resistance plasmids isolated from a wastewater treatment plant. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 45 (3), 239–252.
- United Nations, 2015. The Millenium Development Goals Report 2015. United Nations Department of Public Information.
- United Nations, 2016. The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2016. United Nations Department of Public Information.
- Wen, Q., Yang, L., Zhao, Y., Huang, L., Chen, Z., 2018. Insight into effects of antibiotics on reactor performance and evolutions of antibiotic resistance genes and microbial community in a membrane reactor. *Chemosphere* 197, 420–429.
- WHO, 2014. Antimicrobial Resistance: An Emerging Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Issue. Vol. 2016. World Health Organization.
- Willemssen, I., Oome, S., Verhulst, C., Pettersson, A., Verduin, K., Kluytmans, J., 2015. Trends in extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing *Enterobacteriaceae* and ESBL genes in a Dutch teaching hospital, measured in 5 yearly point prevalence surveys (2010–2014). *PLoS One* 10 (11), 10.
- Yang, Y., Li, B., Ju, F., Zhang, T., 2013. Exploring variation of antibiotic resistance genes in activated sludge over a four-year period through a metagenomic approach. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47 (18), 10197.
- Zhang, X.X., Zhang, T., Fang, H.H., 2009a. Antibiotic resistance genes in water environment. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 82 (3), 397–414.
- Zhang, X.X., Zhang, T., Zhang, M., Fang, H.H.P., Cheng, S.P., 2009b. Characterization and quantification of class 1 integrons and associated gene cassettes in sewage treatment plants. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 82 (6), 1169–1177.
- Zhang, Y.Y., Zhuang, Y., Geng, J.J., Ren, H.Q., Xu, K., Ding, L.L., 2016. Reduction of antibiotic resistance genes in municipal wastewater effluent by advanced oxidation processes. *Sci. Total Environ.* 550, 184–191.